

Message

---

**From:** Channing, James (WES) [/O=COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS/OU=MASSMAIL-01/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=JCHANNING]  
**Sent:** 1/10/2011 8:44:37 PM  
**To:** Farak, Sonja (DPH) [/O=COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS/OU=MassMail-01/cn=Recipients/cn=Sonja.Farak]  
**Subject:** RE: v. [REDACTED]

I was able to look over the case. The defendant was not charged with the broken glass tube (cocaine). The only lab # of concern for this trial will be the marijuana [REDACTED]. Sorry for any confusion.

Thanks!

---

**From:** Farak, Sonja (DPH)  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 30, 2010 8:37 AM  
**To:** Channing, James (WES)  
**Subject:** RE: v. [REDACTED]

The selling of the marijuana makes sense to me, but I have another question about this. You said that Mr. [REDACTED] had additional marijuana on his person [REDACTED] but I have [REDACTED] being a residue in a broken glass tube, which turned out to be positive for cocaine, not marijuana. Is there another drug lab number that I am missing? Thanks.

-Sonja

---

**From:** Channing, James (WES)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 29, 2010 3:01 PM  
**To:** Farak, Sonja (DPH)  
**Subject:** RE: v. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] is alleged to have sold the marijuana [REDACTED] to [REDACTED]. In addition, he had additional marijuana on his person [REDACTED]. Sorry for any confusion.

Thanks!

---

**From:** Farak, Sonja (DPH)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 29, 2010 7:53 AM  
**To:** Channing, James (WES)  
**Subject:** v. [REDACTED]  
ADA Channing-

I'm just letting you know that I received your summons for the [REDACTED] case scheduled for trial on Jan. 26, 2011 and that I am available that day. However, the Drug Lab number listed on the summons [REDACTED] appears to be for a different defendant [REDACTED]. I did notice that [REDACTED] is listed as the defendant for [REDACTED] is that the correct drug lab number? Thanks.

-Sonja

Sonja Farak  
Drug Analysis Lab

